
# **A VEGETATION MAP OF SOUTH AMERICA** MAPA DE LA VEGETACIÓN DE AMÉRICA DEL SUR MAPA DA VEGETAÇÃO DA AMÉRICA DO SUL



H.D.Eva E.E. de Miranda C.M. Di Bella V.Gond O.Huber M.Sgrenzaroli S.Jones A.Coutinho A.Dorado M.Guimarães C.Elvidge F.Achard A.S.Belward E.Bartholomé A.Baraldi G.De Grandi P.Vogt S.Fritz A.Hartley



EUROPEAN COMMISSION JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE

# A VEGETATION MAP OF SOUTH AMERICA

MAPA DE LA VEGETACIÓN DE AMÉRICA DEL SUR MAPA DA VEGETAÇÃO DA AMÉRICA DO SUL

H.D.Eva E.E. de Miranda C.M. Di Bella V.Gond O.Huber M.Sgrenzaroli S.Jones A.Coutinho A.Dorado M.Guimarães C.Elvidge F.Achard A.S.Belward E.Bartholomé A.Baraldi G.De Grandi P.Vogt S.Fritz A.Hartley



#### LEGAL NOTICE

Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of the following information.

A great deal of additional information on the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server (<u>http://europa.eu.int</u>)

Cataloguing data can be found at the end of this publication

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2002 ISBN 92-894-4449-5 © European Communities, 2002 Reproduction is authorized provided the source is acknowledged *Printed in Italy* 

# A VEGETATION MAP OF SOUTH AMERICA

#### prepared by

H.D.Eva\* E.E. de Miranda <sup>‡</sup> C.M. Di Bella<sup>#</sup> V.Gond<sup>†</sup> O.Huber<sup>◊</sup> M.Sgrenzaroli<sup>\*</sup> S.Jones<sup>°</sup> A.Coutinho<sup>‡</sup> A.Dorado<sup>¢</sup> M.Guimarães<sup>‡</sup> C.Elvidge<sup>•</sup> F.Achard<sup>\*</sup> A.S.Belward<sup>\*</sup> E.Bartholomé<sup>\*</sup> A.Baraldi<sup>\*</sup> G.De Grandi <sup>\*</sup> P.Vogt<sup>\*</sup> S.Fritz <sup>\*</sup> A.Hartley<sup>\*</sup>

\*Institute for Environment and Sustainability – Joint Research Centre of the European Commission – Ispra – Italy

<sup>‡</sup> Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária -EMBRAPA-CNPM – Campinas – Brazil

<sup>#</sup> Instituto de Clima y Agua – INTA- Los Reseros y Las Cabañas S/N (1712) – Castelar – Buenos Aires – Argentina

<sup>†</sup>Centre International de Recherche en Agronomie pour le Développement, Unité mixte de Recherche "Ecologie des Forêts de Guyane" - CIRAD / ECOFOG - Cayenne -French Guyana

 $\label{eq:coroLab} CoroLab \ Humboldt - CIET/IVIC - Caracas - Venezuela$ 

° Department of Geomatics- University of Melbourne - Melbourne - Australia

<sup>¢</sup> ECOFORÇA – Campinas – Brazil

'NOAA-NESDIS National Geophysical Data Center- Boulder-USA

#### **Project Organisation**

*Co-ordination and continental map production* H.D.Eva

> *Regional co-ordinators* E.E.de Miranda C.Di Bella V.Gond

Regional vegetation experts E.E.de Miranda C.Di Bella V.Gond O.Huber A.Dorado F.Achard A.Coutinho M.Guimarães

> Radar data preparation M.Sgrenzaroli G.De Grandi A.Baraldi

> > DMSP data preparation C.Elvidge

ATSR data preparation and interpretation S.Jones

SPOT VGT data preparation E.Bartholomé P.Vogt

*Legend translation* E.E.de Miranda C.Di Bella V.Gond A. Dorado

> *GIS* S.Fritz

Web presentation A.Hartley

GLC 2000 project co-ordination A.S.Belward E.Bartholomé

A Vegetation Map of South America




Figure 1: The South America map with generalised legend

# Contents

1.	Int	roduction1
	1.1.	Objectives and presentation of the map1
	1.2.	Previous maps of South America1
	1.3.	Applications of such maps1
2.	M	ethodological approach2
	2.1.	Use of multi-resolution satellite data2
	2.2.	Image classification techniques4
3.	Le	gend7
	3.1.	Classification scheme7
	3.2.	Correspondence with the GLC 2000 global legend7
	3.3.	Forest classes
	3.4.	Shrubland classes
	3.5.	Grassland classes
	3.6.	Land with little or sparse vegetation
	3.7.	Agricultural classes
4.	Th	e distribution of the main vegetation formations13
	4.1.	Thematic detail
	4.2.	Continental distributions
	4.3.	Surface areas of major land cover types13
5.	Da	ta access and update19
6.	Ma	aps consulted21
7. References		
8. Technical specifications29		
9. Legend translations		
10	• .	Accompanying maps in the series34

# List of Tables

Table 1: Ancillary sources of information for class labelling	5
Table 2: Maps available for class labelling	5
Table 3: Correspondence between the regional legend and the global legend	6
Table 4: Land cover class criteria	12
Table 5: Distribution of land cover classes in South America	14
Table 6: Class groupings in the digital data	29
Table 7: Digital numbers of the land cover classes	30
Table 8: The legend in French English Spanish and Portuguese – Forest classes	32
Table 9: The legend in French English Spanish and Portuguese – Non-forest classes	33

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1: The South America map with generalised legend	V
Figure 2: SPOT VGT mosaic of South America	X
Figure 3: ATSR-2 image of Rondônia	3
Figure 4: Map detail from the Rio Negro, north Brazil	
Figure 5: The distribution of humid and dry forests	
Figure 6: The distribution of shrublands	
Figure 7: The distribution of grasslands	
Figure 8: The distribution of wetlands	

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the European Commission and of EMBRAPA-CNPM. The European Space Agency is thanked for access to their Near-Real-Time Along Track Scanning Radiometer (ATSR) service. The Rutherford Appleton Laboratories are thanked for technical support and information relating to the calibration of the ATSR-2 instrument. The VEGETATION data used in the framework of this project have been provided by VEGA 2000, an initiative co-sponsored by the French Space Agency, CNES, the Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO, Belgium) and the Joint Research Centre.

# Foreword

South America accounts for around 12% of the Earth's land surface. The continent is among the most physically, biologically and climatologically diverse of all Earth's land-masses. Climate ranges from arid desertic conditions, through to humid tropical regions and cold permanent ice caps. The continent boasts the largest rainforest in the world, the largest river and has some of the world's greatest concentrations of biodiversity. In addition to the largest tropical forest left on the Earth the continent accounts for nearly a quarter of the world's potentially arable land, around 12% of the current cropland, and 17% of all pastures (Gómez and Gallopin, 1991).

The UN Population Division puts the year 2000 population for Latin America and the Caribbean at 519 million and predicts this could rise to as many as 1,025 million by 2050 (United Nations, 2001). This will put ever-increasing pressure on the land to provide employment, food, fibre and fuel. To provide for the growing population the forests will very likely continue to be cleared to make way for agriculture, ranching and plantations. Commercial wood harvesting too is likely to increase. South America's humid tropical forests declined by by16 Mha between 1990 and 1997, an average rate of 0.38 % per year, though deforestation rates in hot-spots reached 4 % (Achard et al., 2002). All the indications are that this process has not stopped.

Deforestation could lead to reductions in regional water cycling and precipitation, as well as affecting the global carbon cycle (Zeng, 1999). Many of the continent's dry land ecosystems are already subject to desertification (UNEP, 1999), grassland production could be reduced because of increasingly variable precipitation and likewise agricultural activities in specific parts of the continent may change in response to climatic shifts (Rosenzweig and Hillel, 1998). Determining likely climate change scenarios, modelling impacts of climate change, socio-economic planning and protecting the continent's biodiversity all call for regular monitoring of land cover.

Systematic land cover maps for the entire continent have only been produced every decade or so since the 1970's. Earlier maps were compiled from diverse sources and are produced on coarse scales. Maps dating from the 1990's are based on data collected by Earth Observing satellites. Compared with the earlier maps these benefit from uniformity of observation across the continent and offer improved spatial detail. They do not however offer the thematic richness of the earlier products. The Land Cover map of South America for 2000 presented here offers a combination of spatial and thematic detail previously unavailable. The map uses data from microwave and optical sensors on Earth Observing satellites to map South America's land cover into more than 40 classes at a spatial resolution of 1 km. Mapping to these levels of detail has only been possible because of recent advances in Earth Observing satellite technology and because of the involvement of scientists from South America and Europe with profound expertise in the continent's regional land cover. The quality of the final product stands testimony to the advantages of international scientific co-operation and provides an essential assessment of the continent's land resources at the turn of the new millennium.

Alan Belward

Head of the Global Vegetation Monitoring Unit December 2002



Figure 2: SPOT VGT mosaic of South America.

# 1. Introduction

# 1.1. Objectives and presentation of the map

The need to document the extent and condition of the world's ecosystems is well recognised. This is especially true in tropical areas, where land cover change has been unprecedented in recent decades. The advent of Earth orbiting satellites has facilitated the task of mapping and monitoring many of the areas, hitherto difficult to access. This map follows the first TREES map (Eva et al., 1999), which focused on the humid forests of tropical South America and was based on 1992 satellite imagery. The new map is much more than an update of the TREES I map, in that it presents a larger geographic region (all of South America), has more reliable spatial data, and a higher thematic content. These improvements are due to the increased availability of higher quality satellite data. The original TREES I map was created from a single source data(NOAA-AVHRR), which were designed for meteorological purposes, rather than for vegetation monitoring. The new map enables us to monitor some of the major trends in deforestation that have occurred over the last ten years. Whilst the spatial resolution of the satellite imagery is not adequate to detect small openings in the forest cover or selective extraction, it is capable of detect the main changes that occur. It is therefore a valuable document both from which to base finer studies and for directing research, aid and development programmes. The data are available for downloading through the internet.

# 1.2. Previous maps of South America

Several continental cartographic studies have already been undertaken: Holdridge et al. (1971), a "life-zone system" based on bio-climatic factors, rainfall and temperature; Hueck's (1972) map of potential vegetation (at 1: 8.000.000); the UNESCO (1981) Vegetation map of South America at 1:5.000.000 classifying vegetation types considering their bioclimatic and ecological context and according their physiognomic and phenologic characteristics. The World Conservation Monitoring Centre (WCMC) has collated information from national map sources to produce continental forest cover information (Harcourt and Sayer, 1996). The Woods Hole Research Center (Stone et al., 1994) and the International Geosphere Biosphere Program (IGBP) (Loveland et al. 1999) have both produced maps of South America using data from the same satellite as was used for the TREES I map.

# **1.3.** Applications of such maps

The spatial resolution of the map (1 km pixel resolution) does not allow for accurate determination of land cover trends. For many classes the spatial fragmentation of the land cover leads to an overestimation / underestimation of land cover classes depending on the spatial arrangement of that class. However, for most of the continent this resolution obtains good results taking into account the mean size of agricultural areas or vegetation communities.

The thematic accuracy of such maps is high at aggregated levels. Thus leaving the classification at the level of forests, shrublands and grasslands results in a higher class confidence than more specific class labels. At the same time, comparisons with the previous maps should only be made at the qualitative level. It would be exceedingly rash to attempt to measure land cover change between the current map and the previous TREES map. An appropriate approach for such an exercise would be to use the perceived changes between such maps in stratification approach for the application of finer spatial resolution data (Achard et al., 2002).

# 2. Methodological approach

# 2.1. Use of multi-resolution satellite data

A number of different types of remotely sensed data are available for vegetation mapping at continental scales, each of these sources has its own potential application. Whilst previous maps have been derived from single source data, we use four sets of satellite information to create the map. Each of the sources of data used, outlined below, contribute to mapping a specific ecosystem or land cover, seasonality or water regime.

#### 2.1.1. Along Track Scanning Radiometer

The Along Track Scanning Radiometer (ATSR-2) is on board the ERS-2 satellite. The sensor acquires data in two 'looks', one forward and one at nadir, each with a 500 km swath. The data are at nominal 1 km spatial resolution, and available in visible, near-infra read, middle infra-red and thermal bands. The data are provided with embedded geolocation points, which allow for an automatic correction. A repeat cycle of 9 days is possible at the equator. The middle infra-red and thermal bands allow good discrimination between dense humid forests and non-forests (Figure 3). The fine spectral bandwidths allow for the detection of some specific humid forest types, notably mangroves and bamboo dominated areas. Between 1999 and 2001 over 1000 ATSR images of Latin America were acquired in near-real-time through the European Space Agency's world wide web server. The ATSR data were corrected to top of atmosphere reflectance, by applying the calibration tables provided by the sensor designers, Rutherford Appleton Laboratories (<u>http://www.atsr.rl.ac.uk/</u>). The data were composited together into a continental mosaic by selecting pixels with the highest surface temperature. This produced a "dry season" mosaic, in which the evergreen forests, both tropical and temperate, are clearly delineated from the seasonal formations.

# 2.1.2. SPOT VGT instrument

The SPOT VGT sensor onboard the SPOT 4 satellite is similarly a 1 km resolution sensor. It is one of the first sensors to be specifically designed for global vegetation monitoring. It has a 2000 km swath enabling a daily acquisition of data even at the equator. It samples data in the visible (blue and red), near and middle infra-red, but has no thermal imaging capacities. The daily availability of data, make the VGT instrument invaluable in monitoring the seasonality of vegetation formations, especially in tropical areas, where cloud free data are difficult to acquire. The VGT data were provided by VITO in both S10 (ten day composites) and S1 (daily) images were acquired (<u>www.vgt.vito.be</u>). The S10 data were composited into four mosaics, boreal winter, spring, summer and autumn (Figure 2). The selection process was undertaken by selecting the image with the lowest SWIR value after cloud screening. At the same time the ten day vegetation (Normalised Difference Vegetation Index, NDVI) profiles were synthesised into monthly products.

# 2.1.3. JERS-1 radar data

The Global Rain Forest Mapping project (GRFM), an international collaborative effort led and managed by the National Space Development Agency of Japan (Rosenqvist, 1996) has produced regional satellite mosaics of the humid tropical ecosystems of the world derived from the JERS-1 L band SAR. The data come as full mosaics covering the humid forests, geometrically

corrected at a nominal 100m pixel with backscatter scaled to 8 bit resolution. Two mosaics were produced of South American tropical forests, one *the high water mosaic*, coinciding with the high water period of the Amazon river at Manaus, (May-July 1996) and the other *low water mosaic* produced from data (September-December 1995) to coincide with the low water period. The radar backscatter is amplified by the presence of water under the forest canopy, in an effect called double bounce. Thus an inter-comparison of the two mosaics gives an indication of areas of major regions of flooded forests.




Figure 3: ATSR-2 image of Rondônia

# 2.1.4. DMSP data

The Defence Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) Operational Linescan System (OLS) has a unique low light imaging capability originally developed for the detection of clouds using moonlight. It can also detect human settlements, fires, gas flares, heavy lit fishing boats, lightning and aurora (Elvidge et al., 1997). The sensor has two spectral bands (visible and thermal infra-red) and a swath of around 3000 km. The OLS has low light sensing capabilities which go down to 9-10 watts which is much lower than comparable bands of other sensors such as NOAA AVHRR or Landsat Thematic Mapper. By monitoring the frequency of light sources, the location of human settlements can be determined, so-called "stable lights".

# 2.1.5. The digital elevation model - GTOPO30

Altitude thresholds for the montane forests were set using the US Geological Survey's 30 arcsecond database "GTOPO30" (USGS, 1997; Bliss and Olsen, 1996). This database was amended in Venezuelan Guayana according to the topographic map of the region provided by Berry et al. 1995.

## 2.2. Image classification techniques

#### 2.2.1. Humid forest cover from ATSR data

An unsupervised clustering algorithm (ISODATA) was used to produce 50 spectral classes from the ATSR mosaic. The fifty classes were then assigned as humid forest, interface class or nonhumid forest. The class assignment was done using visual interpretation aided by thematic maps and class spectral statistics. The interface class was usually interpreted as a seasonal forest formation, an open forest or humid forest formations degraded by anthropogenic activity. It was noticed that two distinct forest formations, bamboo dominated areas and mangroves, formed separate spectral classes.

#### 2.2.2. Other vegetation formations from SPOT VGT data

The ATSR forest humid forest class was used to mask out the humid forest areas from the SPOT VGT data. The remaining area "non humid forest" was classified using the unsupervised clustering algorithm into fifty classes. The interpretation of these classes was again undertaken by visual examination of the classes in conjunction with the examination of the monthly NDVI profiles and local maps.

# 2.2.3. Flooded forests from JERS data

A difference mosaic was created from the two JERS mosaic, highlighting the areas where a significant radiometric change occurred between the two acquisition dates (high water and low water). Visual interpretation was used to set an appropriate threshold to discriminate areas of flooding from signal fluctuation. The resultant layer was crossed with the forest layer obtained from the ATSR data, to give a seasonally flooded forest layer.

# 2.2.4. Urban areas from the DMSP stable lights

Due to the scattering of light, the DMSP data tend to overestimate the urban extent. The data set have therefore been used as a seeding layer to locate the presence of large urban areas in the SPOT VGT data set. A mask was created from the stable lights data to extract the corresponding areas from the SPOT data, which was then classified using ISODATA into ten thematic classes. Visual interpretation was used to retain those classes related to urban areas.

#### 2.2.5. Ancillary data sets

Forest and land cover maps were assembled to aid in the labelling of spectral classes. These cover the majority of the land surface of South America, from continental maps to country and regional maps. In addition to this, maps and information on the spatial distribution and characteristics of ecosystems were collected from the literature (Tables 1 & 2).

Table 1: Ancillary sources of information	for class labelling
---	---------------------

Biome	Source
Tropical rain forests	Wirth et al. 2001, Oliveira & Nelson 2001, Ducke & Black 1953,
	De Granville 1988, Gentry 1990 1995 & 1996, Pires & Prance
	1985, Clark & Clark 2000, Berry et al. 1995, Veillon 1989, ter
	Steege et al. 1995 2000, Molino & Sabatier 2001, Pires 1984,
	Prance 1989, FAO 1981, Huber 1995, Huber et al. 1988b.
Tropical dry forests	Bullock et al.1995, Parker et al. 1993, Bucher 1982, Sampaio
	1995, Adamoli et al. 1990, Spichiger & Ramella 1988, FAO 1981,
	Ratter 1992, Killeen et al. 1998, Kellman et al. 1994.
Flooded forests / mangroves	Adis 1984, Junk 1989, Lescure & Tostain 1989, Pires & Prance
	1985.
Montane forests	Haber et al.2000, Stadtmüller 1987, Cavelier & Etter 1995
Montane grasslands	Balslev & Luteyn 1992.
Shrublands	Huber 1988a, Berry et al. 1995, León, et al. 1998, Paruelo et al.
	1998a & b APN 1999.
Tropical grasslands	Huber et al. 2001, Berry et al. 1995, Sarmiento 1983, Ratter 1992,
	Barbosa 1996, Eiten 1982, Pires & Prance 1985, Killeen 1990,
	Klink et al. 1993.
Temperate grasslands	Guerschman et al 2002, Soriano 1993 ,León, et al. 1998 Paruelo
	et al. 1998 2001, APN 1999.
Temperate forest	Armesto et al. 1998, Veblen et al. 1996, CI 1992, Neira et al. 2002
	,León, et al. 1998, Paruelo et al.1998 APN 1999

# Table 2: Maps available for class labelling

Region	Мар
Continental	Holdridge 1971, Hueck & Seibert 1972, UNESCO 1981, World Bank
	1995.
Argentina	APN 1999.
Bolivia	MDSMA 1995.
Brazil	IBGE 1995, RADAMBRAZIL 1973-1978, SOSMA 1992.
Chile	Neira et al. 2002.
Colombia	IGAC 1987.
Guianas	Huber et al. 1995, ter Steege 2001.
Ecuador	Sierra 1999, Sierra et al. 1999b.
Peru	INRENA 1996.
Venezuela	Huber and Alarcón 1988, Huber 1995.

# Table 3: Correspondence between the regional legend and the global legend

GLOBAL LEGEND	REGIONAL LEGEND
Tree Cover, broadleaf evergreen	Closed evergreen tropical forest
	Open evergreen tropical forest
	Bamboo dominated forest
	Closed semi-humid forest
	Open semi-humid forest
	Temperate closed evergreen broadleaf
	Montane evergreen forests
	C C
Tree Cover, broadleaf, deciduous	Closed deciduous forest
	Open deciduous forest
	Closed semi deciduous forest
	Open semi deciduous forest
	Semi deciduous transition forest
	Temperate closed deciduous broadleaf
	Temperate open deciduous broadleaf
	Montane deciduous forests
Tree Cover, regularly flooded: Mangrove	Mangroves
	Fresh water flooded forests
	Permanent swamp forests
Tree Cover, needleleaf, evergreen	Forest plantation*
Tree Cover, mixed phenology or leaf type	Temperate mixed evergreen broadleaf
The cover, mined phonology of total type	Montane mixed forests
	Monule mixed forests
Cultivated and managed areas	Agriculture – intensive
Cropland / Other natural vegetation (non-trees)	Mosaic agriculture / degraded vegetation
Cropland / Tree Cover	Mosaic agriculture / degraded forest
Herbaceous Cover, closed-open	Grass savannah
· •	Shrub savannah
	Moorlands / heathlands
	Closed montane grasslands
	Open montane grasslands
	Closed steppe grasslands
Sparse Herbaceous or sparse shrub cover	Open shrublands
	Open steppe grasslands
	Sparse desertic steppe shrub /grassland
Shrub Cover, closed-open, evergreen	Closed shrublands
Regularly flooded shrub and/or herbaceous cover	Periodically flooded shrublands
	Periodically flooded grasslands
Bare Areas	Barren / bare soil
	Desert
	Salt pans
	-
Water Bodies (natural & artificial)	Water bodies
Snow and Ice (natural & artificial)	Permanent snow /ice
	- childrent show free
Artificial surfaces and associated areas	Urban

# 3. Legend

# **3.1.** Classification scheme

The classification scheme for the legend is based on vegetation structural categories (Eiten, 1968). At the first level, the classes are broadly grouped as:

- forests
- shrublands
- grasslands
- agricultural lands
- barren surfaces
- water, ice and snow

Subsequently, we introduce percentage vegetation cover (open/closed), seasonality, flooding regime, climate and altitude. The latter two, altitude and climate, are introduced for ecological reasons – a separation of tropical vegetation forms from temperate ones, and of highland ones from lowland ones. At times this presents methodological problems, notably in areas of low vegetation cover which may be classified as - steppe / barren / desertic. Details of the class definitions are given in Table 3. The map legend has been prepared in four different languages (Tables 6 & 7, Annex 6.3).

# 3.2. Correspondence with the GLC 2000 global legend

Within the scope of the GLC 2000 mapping exercise (Belward et al., 2003), a common global legend has been proposed to satisfy the requirements of global mapping, whilst remaining thematically accurate at the local level. To this end a global legend, based on the FAO LCCS (Land cover classification system - Di Gregorio and Jansen, 2000) has been developed. Table 3 shows the correspondence between the South America regional map legend and the Global map.

# 3.3. Forest classes

Tree canopy cover is greater than 40% and height greater than 5 metres. Closed forests are with canopy cover greater than 70% and open forests with canopy cover between 40 and 70%.

# 3.3.1. Humid tropical forest

#### Evergreen broadleaf forests

•

*Forests with less than 1 month dry season.* This includes the *terre firme* forests of the Orinoco and Amazon basins, the Colombian *Choco*, the Guiana shield and the Atlantic forests of Brazil. Within this domain, certain areas exhibit a minor dry season. In the current version of the map, it has not been possible to discriminate these areas.

#### Evergreen broadleaf forests with bamboo dominance

The bamboo-dominated forests (*pacales*) of the Brazilian state of Acre and of east Peru have been mapped. Whilst areas of bamboo-dominated forest exist on many mountain areas, these have been impossible to distinguish from illumination effects and from degradation

#### Semi-humid evergreen forests

*Forests with less than 3 months dry season.* Forests located in the north-east Brazil on the interface between the dry *caatingas* and the humid evergreen forest. These forests exhibit a small dry season of around 2 months.

#### 3.3.2. Dry tropical forests

#### Deciduous and semi-deciduous tropical forest

*Forests with more than 3 months dry season.* The main contiguous areas are the Bolivian *Chaco* and the *Caatingas* of north east of Brazil. Both these areas are heavily affected by anthropogenic activity. The *Chaco* is often described as a low forest, mainly as much of the high grade timber has been removed. The *Caatingas* are a more open forest, combined with a dense shrub undergrowth. The formations on the uplands of eastern Brazil, from the Serra da Capivara down through the Chapada Diamantina (forest to *cerradão*) are also included in this class. Dry forest formations occur in the Peruvian Andes and the Caribbean coast of Venezuela as well as gallery forests of the Venezuelan *llanos*.

#### Semi-deciduous transition tropical forest

A geographically specific forest formation. The Chiquitania forest of northern Bolivia forms a transition between the humid closed evergreen forests of the Amazon basin and the more open dry deciduous forests of the Chaco. As such, the forest has a short dry season, around September.

#### **3.3.3.** Flooded tropical forests

#### Coastal flooded tropical forests - mangroves

Forests permanently under the influence of salt water. Due to the course spatial resolution of the sensor only the major mangrove areas are mapped. These are found almost continually along the coast from the Orinoco delta to northern Amapá. In northeast Brazil the major formations occur between Belém and São Luis and again at Salvador. In Colombia, mangroves have been mapped at Santa Marta and around Tumaco. Further south they are found at Guayaquil in Ecuador and Tumbes in Peru.

#### Periodically fresh water flooded tropical forests

*Riparian forests flooded for less than 5 months a year*. Many *igapó* and *várzeas* are found along the water courses of South America. Those mapped are the major areas which include stretches of the Amazon, with significant flooded forests at Mamirauá along the Solimões, the Purus, and the Guaporé on the Brazil-Bolivian frontier, as well as the upper reaches of the Rio Negro. In southern Amazonas, Venezuela, the region between the Orinoco and Amazon basin also has large areas of flooded forests. In central Guyana the upper reaches of the Repunui and Mazaruni rivers have extensive flooded forests. Coastal flooded forests and swamps are found from the delta of the Orinoco to the river Maroni on the Suriname-French Guiana border, and again from northern Amapá (Cabo Orange) down to the mouth of the Amazon, where the west of the island of Marajó is dominated by this ecosystem.

#### Permanently flooded forests

*Forests flooded for more than 5 months a year.* The major area mapped in this class is in Peru where the large swamp region of the Pastaza fan exhibits seasonal flooding resulting in open palm swamps (*Aguajales*) and permanent swamps. In Brazil, parts of the forest near the Amapá coast, and the western part of the island of Marajó and the courses of the Guaporé river are found to be permanently inundated.

# 3.3.4. Temperate forests

# Evergreen broadleaf temperate forests, evergreen mixed broad and needleleaf forests, seasonal broadleaf forests.

#### Forests occurring at latitudes south of the 30° S parallel

The three classes of temperate forests mapped occur in the southern cone of Chile and Argentina and consist of evergreen, deciduous, needle and broadleaf forests dominated by the *nothofagus* species. It was not found possible to discriminate pure needleleaf forests. The evergreen rain forests (Valdivian, North Patagonian and Magellanic) are on the Pacific coast of South America from Valdivia to Tierra del Fuego, while the seasonal broadleaf forests predominate between Santiago de Chile and Concepción, and on the east side of the Andes down to Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego.

#### 3.3.5. Montane forests

Forests occurring between 500m and 1000 m and at greater than 1000m above mean sea level are classed separately. The montane forests occur predominately in the Andes and in the Guiana shield.

# 3.4. Shrubland classes

Shrub canopy cover is greater 20% and canopy height less than5 metres

# 3.4.1. Shrublands

Extensive shrubland formations have been mapped in Argentina (*espinal* and *monte* vegetation formations); *matoral* formations are found along the Andes reaching down into Chile; in Brazil the *cerradão* and degraded formations in the *caatingas* are mapped in this class. In Bolivia part of the dry *chaco* is mapped as shrublands rather than forest. The transition between *monte* and steppe grasslands is mapped as open shrublands.

# 3.4.2. Periodically flooded savannah shrublands

*Shrublands flooded for 2 or more months a year*. The region north of the Rio Negro and along the Rio Branco in Roraima, Brazil, have several shrublands periodically inundated.

# 3.5. Grassland classes

Herbaceous cover greater than 10%. Tree and shrub canopy cover less than 20%.

# 3.5.1. Tropical savannahs

#### Savannah grasslands

*Herbaceous tropical vegetation with a dry seaso greater than 4 months*. The main tropical savannah regions mapped are the Venezuelan *llanos*, the Gran Sabana / Rio Branco / Rupununi savannah, the Bolivian *llanos* of Moxos, and *campos limpos* in Brazil. In Uruguay and Argentina the *pampa* is classified as agriculture or steppe grasslands.

#### Shrub savannah

*Tropical grasslands with 10 to 20% shrubs.* The Brazilian *cerrado* is classed as a shrub savannah, although much of is now under agricultural development. The Puciari-Humaitá savannahs near Pôrto Velho are in this class.

#### Periodically flooded savannah grasslands

Savannahs with less than 5 months flooding a year. Five main areas of flooded savannah are distinguished on the map; in the llanos of Venezuela/ Colombia extensive areas flood as do the northern parts of the Río Atrato and the Río Magdalena in northern Colombia. Along the Amazon and its tributaries, many *campos de várzea* are found. In central Brazil the Ilha do Bananal on the Rio Araguaia, and in Mato Grosso the Pantanal, see a seasonal extension of the wetlands, along with the east of the island of Marajó and savannahs in Amapá. In Bolivia, parts of the *llanos* of Moxos, and further south on the west bank of the Paraguay river, the wet *Chaco* are seasonally flooded. Extensive flooding is also found south of the confluence of the Paraná and the Paraguay and on the lower reaches of the Río Plata.

#### 3.5.2. Moorlands

Mosaic class of bogs, herbaceous and shrub vegetation in the humid temperate region with more than 20% vegetation cover all year round. This class is mapped in the south of Chile and Argentina on the Pacific coast and is sometimes known as Magellan moorlands, with water-logged soils, scattered bogs and heaths.

#### 3.5.3. Montane grasslands

*Herbaceous vegetation at altitudes greater than 1000 m with open (10 - 40%) and closed (>40%) formations.* The Andean grasslands, *parimo, jalca* and *puna* are distinguished from the tropical and temperate grasslands in this class.

# **3.5.4.** Steppe vegetation

Herbaceous vegetation in the sub tropical zone (south of 22 S)with a clear dry season. Open (10-40%) and closed (>40 %) formations. Parts of the pampa of Uruguay and Argentina have been mapped as closed steppe grassland. Some of the dry montane puna is mapped as open steppe vegetation along with Patagonian grasslands, which are more desertic.

# **3.6.** Land with little or sparse vegetation

Areas with less than 10% vegetation cover.

#### 3.6.1. Sparse vegetation

*Vegetated (up to 10% cover) for more than 4 months a year.* Sparse vegetation includes xerophytic coastal vegetation from the Caribbean coast to Chile and desertic steppe in Patagonia. Small areas of the altiplano also come under this class.

#### 3.6.2. Barren or bare soil

*Unvegetated*. Areas deemed as barren, often volcanic or with a high saline content, are found in the altiplano, and sometimes called desertic *puna*. In northeast Brazil several areas in the *caatingas* are found to be barren.

#### 3.6.3. Deserts

*Vegetated (up to 10% cover) for less than 4 months a year.* Found mostly on the pacific coast stretching from south of Tumbes in Peru, to Antofagasta in Chile. In the Bolivian Andes several regions are mapped under this class.

# 3.6.4. Salt pans

The two main salt pans, Salar de Uyuni and Salar de Coipasa, in Bolivia are mapped.

#### 3.6.5. Permanent ice and snow

In tropical America, the Cordillera Blanca (mt. Huascarán at 6768m) is the main area in this class. In the southern cone, the Patagonian ice gaps and permanent snow on the Cordillera Darwin are mapped.

#### 3.6.6. Water bodies

No distinction is made between natural and man-made water bodies.

# 3.7. Agricultural classes

#### 3.7.1. Intensive agriculture

*Areas with over 70% cultures or pastures*. Regions of intensive cultivation and/or sown pasture fall in this class. The main areas under such occupation are found in northwest Colombia, central and southern Brazil, and in Argentina. From a remote sensing point of view these areas are usually characterised by a period of bare soil (Gueschman et al., 2002).

## 3.7.2. Mosaic of agriculture and non-forest vegetation

Part of the Andean altiplano and of the north-east of Brazil (*sertão*) come under this class. It is often a mixture of pasture, cultivation and degraded natural vegetation. Degraded formations of dry forest, pasture and shrub savannah between the rivers Arauca and Portugues in the Venezuelan llanos are mapped in this class.

#### 3.7.3. Mosaic of agriculture and degraded forest formations

This is a common class across South America and corresponds to shifting cultivations, agroforestry, fragmented forests and secondary forest and rural complex (Mayaux et al. 1997). Major areas include settlements within the Amazonian forest (Rondônia, Acre, Florencia, Napo), valleys in Colombia, and the Esmeraldas coast of Ecuador. In Brazil northeast Pará is dominated by this class, as is much of the east coast from Natal to Vilha Velha, where the landscape is dominated by degraded formations of the Atlantic forest along with agriculture. In southern Brazil the region from São Paulo down to Santa Caterina exhibits similar land cover.

#### **3.7.4.** Forest plantations

The only forest plantations mapped are the pine plantations in the east of the Venezuelan *llanos*.

### Table 4: Land cover class criteria

1.	Forests: tree canopy cover is >40% and height >5 metres
	- Evergreen forests: less than 1 month dry season
	- Semi-evergreen forests: less than 3 months dry season
	- Deciduous forests: more than 3 months dry season
	- Closed forests: canopy cover > 70%
	- Open forests: canopy cover 40-70%
	- Temperate forests: forests occurring at latitudes > 30° south.
	- Lowland forests: forests occurring at altitudes < 500m amsl.
	<ul> <li>Montane forests: forests occurring at altitudes &gt; 500 &lt; 1000 m amsl; forests occurri &gt; 1000 m amsl.</li> </ul>
	- Mangroves: forests permanently under influence of sea water
	<ul> <li>Periodically flooded fresh water forests: riparian forests flooded for less than 5 monta year</li> </ul>
	- Permanent swamp forest: forests flooded for more than 5 months a year
2.	Shrublands: shrub canopy cover is >20% and height <5 metres
	- Dry shrublands without prolonged flooding
	- Periodically flooded fresh water shrublands flooded for 2 or more months a year
2	Creation do tree and three concerts $200$ has because $200$
3.	<u>Grasslands: tree and shrub canopy cover &lt;20%, herbaceous cover &gt; 10 %</u> - Savannahs: herbaceous tropical vegetation with a dry season >4 months
	<ul> <li>Savainans: herbaceous tropical vegetation with a dry season &gt;4 months</li> <li>Shrub savannahs: herbaceous tropical vegetation with 10-20% shrubs and a dry season</li> </ul>
	>4 months
	<ul> <li>Moorlands and heaths: mosaic class in the temperate region of bogs, herbaceous a shrub vegetation with &gt; 20% vegetation cover all year round.</li> </ul>
	- Montane grasslands: herbaceous vegetation at altitudes > 1000 m with open ( 1
	40%) and closed (>40%) formations.
	<ul> <li>Steppe grasslands: herbaceous vegetation in the sub tropical zone (&gt; 22 S)with a cl dry season. Open (10-40%) and closed (&gt;40 %) formations.</li> </ul>
	- Periodically flooded fresh water grasslands: flooded for more than 2 months
	-
4.	Sparse and barren surfaces: < 10 % vegetation cover
	- Sparse desertic steppe shrub / grassland: vegetated (< 10% cover) for more than
	months a year
	- Desert: vegetated (< 10% cover) for less than 4 months a year
	- Barren bare soil: unvegetated
	- Salt pans
5.	Agriculture
	- Intensive agriculture: areas with over 70% cultures or pastures
	- Mosaic of degraded forest and agriculture
	- Mosaic of agriculture and other degraded natural vegetation
6.	Non-vegetated land cover types:
0.	- Permanent snow/ice: snow/ice present throughout the year
	<ul> <li>Water bodies: Open water fresh or salt including seas, lakes, reservoirs and rivers</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Urban: buildings, roads and other structures of anthropogenic origin</li> </ul>

# 4. The distribution of the main vegetation formations

# 4.1. Thematic detail

An example of the thematic detail of the new vegetation map is shown in figure 4. The region from Manaus to Boa Vista in Roraima is shown. The map classes can be combined to show more generalised land cover distributions.

# 4.2. Continental distributions

In figures 5 to 8 we show the continental distributions of evergreen and seasonal forests, of shrublands, of grasslands and of wetlands. For the wetlands, the classes flooded forests, mangroves, flooded shrublands and flooded grasslands are shown.

# 4.3. Surface areas of major land cover types

The actual percentage cover of each land cover type is expressed in Table 5. The landcover of the continent is estimated to be 46% forests, 24% agriculture, 26% grasslands and steppe, 3% barren and 1 % water bodies.




Figure 4: Map detail from the Rio Negro, north Brazil.

# Table 5: Distribution of land cover classes in South America

Land cover class	Surface area (sqkm)	Percentage
Humid forests Evergreen broadleaf -	6,218,476	35.0%
Evergreen broadleaf - Closed	0,218,470	35.0%
Open		
Bamboo domina	ted	
Semi humid broadleaf	86,811	0.5%
Closed	,-	
Open		
Dry tropical forests		
Deciduous forests	1,115,736	6.3%
Closed		
Open		
Semi deciduous forest	142,102	0.8%
Closed		
Open	200.254	1.00/
Semi deciduous transition for	rest 209,354	1.2%
Flooded tropical forest Coastal flooded forests - man	17,290 groves	0.1%
Fresh water flooded forests	17,290 199,281	0.1%
Swamp forests - open with pa		0.3%
Temperate forests		0.570
Evergreen broadleaf	61,720	0.3%
Closed	- ,	
Open		
Evergreen mixed broad and	needle leaf 29,556	0.2%
Deciduous forests	105,519	0.6%
Closed		
Open		
Agriculture		
Intensive	2,024,656	11.4%
Mosaic of degraded non-fore	•	4.1%
Mosaic of degraded forest ve		8.5%
Forest plantations	3,360	0.0%
Grass and shrub lands Savannah	350,934	2%
Shrub savannah	738,371	270 4%
Flooded savannah	320,941	2%
Shrublands	1,425,769	7.9%
Flooded shrublands	12,957	0.1%
Moorlands / Heath	106,896	0.6%
Montane grasslands	280,282	1.6%
Closed		
Open		
Steppe vegetation		
Closed grassland	343,148	1.9%
Open grassland	322,964	1.8%
Sparse shrubland	566,717	3.2%
Land with little or sparse vegetation	246.000	1.00/
Bare soil / barren	346,008	1.9%
Desert	194,540	1.1%
Salt pans Water bodies	9,409	0.1%
Natural and artificial water b	odies 220,219	1.2%
Permanent ice and snow	220,219 23,877	0.1%
Urban	11,442	0.1%
or own	11, 772	0.170
	17,778,207	100.0%




Figure 5: The distribution of humid and dry forests.



Figure 6: The distribution of shrublands



Figure 7: The distribution of grasslands



Figure 8: The distribution of wetlands

# 5. Data access and update

The map of South America along with these explicative notes can be requested from the Joint Research Centre, either through the Web pages of the Global Vegetation Monitoring Unit, or by electronic mail to the authors or the GLC 2000 project.

#### Contact Information

South America Co-ordinator:	Hugh Eva ( <u>hugh.eva@jrc.it</u> )
GLC 2000 Co-ordinator:	Etienne Bartholomé (etienne.bartholome@jrc.it)
GVM Unit Head	Alan Belward ( <u>alan.belward@jrc.it</u> )
GVM web page GLC Products page	http://www.gvm.jrc.it/ http://www.gvm.jrc.it/glc2000/productGLC2000.htm

Details of the digital data format are given in section 6.4 of this document. When using the ditigal data, please use this document as a reference.

H.D.Eva, E.E.de Miranda, C.M.Di Bella, V.Gond, et al., 2002, *A Vegetation map of South America*, EUR 20159 EN, European Commission, Luxembourg.

# 6. Maps consulted

#### Continental maps

Hueck, K. and Seibert, P., 1972, Vegetationskarte von Südamerika/ Mapa de la Vegetación de America del Sur, Fischer:Stuttgart.

Stone, T.A., Schlesinger P., Houghton R.A. and Woodwell G.M., 1994, A Map of the Vegetation of South America based on Satellite Imagery, *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, **60**, 441-451.

UNESCO, 1981, Carte de la Végétation d'Amérique du Sud, UNESCO:Paris.

World Bank, 1995, A Conservation assessment of the terrestrial ecoregions of Latin America and the Caribbean, World Bank:Washington D.C..

#### Country maps

#### Argentina

Administración de Parques Nacionales, 1999, *Eco-regiones de la Argentina*, Programa desarrollo institucional ambiental: Buenos Aires.

#### Bolivia

MDSMA, 1995, *Mapa forestal de Bolivia 1:1 000 000*, Ministerio de desarrollo sostenible y medio ambiente: La Paz.

#### Chile

Neira, E., Vercheure, H.and Revenga, C., 2002, *Chile's Frontier forests: conserving a global treasure*. Global Forest Watch, WRI: Washington.

#### Colombia

IGAC, 1987, Mapa de uso actual de la tierra, Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi: Bogota.

#### Brazil

Fundação SOS Mata Atlântica, ,1992, *Remanescentes de mata altântica e ecossistemas associasdos, 1:920.000*, Fundação SOS Mata Atlântica: São Paulo.

IBGE, 1995, *Amazônia Legal 1:3,000,000*, Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística: Rio de Janeiro.

RADAMBRAZIL, 1973-1978, *Levamento de recursos naturais*, Ministério das minas e energia, Departamento nacional da produção mineral: Rio de Janeiro.

#### Ecuador

Sierra, R., 1999, *Vegetacion Remanente del Ecuador Continental. Scale 1:1.000.000*, Proyecto INEFAN/GEF-BIRF, Wildlife Conservation Society and EcoCiencia: Quito.

Sierra, R., Ceron, C., Palacios W. and Valencia, R., 1999, *Mapa de Vegetacion del Ecuador Continental. Scale 1:1.000.000.* Proyecto INEFAN/GEF-BIRF, Wildlife Conservation Society and EcoCiencia: Quito.

#### Guyanas

Huber, O. Gharbarran, G., and Funk, V., 1995, *Vegetation map of Guyana*, University of Guyana: Georgetown.

ter Steege, H., 2001, *National Vegetation map of Guyana*, Guyana Forestry Commission: Georgetown.

#### Peru

INRENA, 1996, *Guia Explicativa del Mapa Forestal 1995*, Instituto Nacional de Recursus Naturales: Lima.

#### Venezuela

Huber, O., and Alarcón, C., 1988, *Mapa de vegetación de Venezuela*, Ministerio del Ambiente y de los Recursos Naturales Renovables: Caracas.

Huber, O., 1995, Vegetation map of the Venezuelan Guayana, Missouri Botanical Garden: St.Louis.

# 7. **References**

Achard, F., Eva, H., Stibig, H. J., Mayaux, P., Gallego, J., Richards, T., and Malingreau, J.P., 2002, Determination of deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests. *Science*, **297**: 999-1003.

Adamoli, J., Sennhauser, E., Acero, J.M., and Rescia, A., 1990, Stress and disturbance: vegetation dynamics in the dry Chaco region of Argentina, *Journal of Biogeography*, **17**: 491-500.

Adis, J., 1984, Seasonal *igapó* forests of Central Amazonian black-water rivers and their terrestrial arthropod fauna, pp. 245-267 in: Sioli, H. (Ed.), *The Amazon-Limnology and landscape ecology of a mighty tropical river and its basin*, Junk: Dordrecht.

Armesto, J., Rozzi, R., Smith–Ramírez, C., and Arroyo, M., 1998, Conservation targets in South American temperate forests, *Science*, **282**:1271 – 1272.

Balslev, H. and Luteyn, J.L., 1992, Paramo – an Andean ecosytem under human influence, Academic Press: London.

Barbosa, A.S., 1996, *Sistema biogeográfico do cerrado*, Universidade Católica de Goiás: Goiânia.

Belward, A.S., Bartholome, E., Achard, F., Carmona-Moreno, C., Eva, H., Gregoire, J-M., Mayaux, P., and Stibig, H-J., 2003, Mapping Global Land Cover for the year 2000, *International Journal of Remote Sensing* (in press).

Berry, P.E., Holst, B.K., and Steyermark, J.A. (eds.), 1995, *Flora of the Venezuelan Guayana Vol. 1*. Missouri Botanical Garden: St.Louis.

Bliss, N.B. and Olsen, L.M., 1996, Development of a 30-arc-second digital elevation model of South America, in: *Pecora Thirteen, Human interactions with the environment-*perspectives from space, held at Souix Falls, South Dakota, August 20-22, 1996.

Bucher, E.H., 1982, Chaco and caatinga - South American arid savannahs, woodland and thickets, pp. 48-79, in: Huntley B.J. and Walker B.H. (eds.) *Ecology of Tropical Savannahs, Ecological Studies, 42, Springer Verlag: New York.* 

Bullock, S.H., Mooney, H.A. and Medina, E. (eds.), 1995, *Seasonally dry tropical forests*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

Cavelier, J. and Etter, A., 1995, Deforestation of montane forests in Colombia as a result of illegal plantations of opium (Papaver somniferum), pp. 541-550 in: *Biodiversity and conservation of neotropical montane forests : proceedings. Bronx, N.Y. (USA):* New York Botanical Garden: New York.

Clark, D. B. and Clark, D.A., 2000, Landscape-scale variation in forest structure and biomass in a tropical rain forest, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **137**: 185-198.

Conservation International, 1992, *Coastal Temperate Rain Forests: ecological characteristics, status and distribution worldwide*, Conservation International: Washington.

De Granville, J.-J., 1988, Phytogeographical characteristics of the Guianan forests, *Taxon*, **37(3):** 578-594.

Di Gregorio, A. and Jansen, L., 2000, *Land cover classification system, classification concepts and user manual*, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations:Rome.

Ducke, A., and Black, G.A., 1953, Phytogeographical notes on the Brazilian Amazon. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, **25**: 1-46.

Eiten, G., 1968, Vegetation forms, *Boletim do Instituto de Botânica* #4, Instituto de Botânica: São Paulo.

Eiten, G., 1982, Brazilian "savannas", pp. 25-47 in: Huntley B.J. and Walker B.H. (eds.), *Ecology of Tropical Savannahs, Ecological Studies, 42*, Springer Verlag: New York.

Elvidge, C.D., Baugh, K.B., Kihn, E.A., Kroehl, H.W. and Davis, E.R., 1997, Mapping city lights with nighttime data from the DMSP Operational Linescan System, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, **63**: 727-734.

Eva, H.D., Glinni A., Janvier, P., and Blair-Myers C., 1999, *Vegetation Map of Tropical South America, Scale 1/5M*, TREES Publications Series D, N°2, EUR EN 18658, European Commission: Luxembourg.

FAO/ UNEP, 1981, Los recursos forestales de la America tropical, FAO: Rome.

Gentry A.H. (ed.), 1990, Four neo-tropical rainforests, Yale University Press: New Haven.

Gentry A.H., 1995, Diversity and floristic composition of neotropical dry forests, pp.146-194 in: Bullock, S.H., Mooney, H.A. and Medina, E., (eds.) *Seasonally dry tropical forests*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

Gentry, A.H., 1996, *A field guide to the families and genera of woody plants of northwest South America*, University of Chicago Press:Chicago.

Gond, V., 2002, Eastern Guiana shield land cover classification using SPOT-4 / VEGETATION instrument, *Global Land Cover 2000 conference*, Ispra (ITA) 18-22 March, In press.

Gómez, I.A. and Gallopin, G.C., 1991: Estimacion de la productividad primaria neta de ecosistemas terrestres del mundo en relacion a factores ambientales. *Ecologia Austral*, 1,:24-40.

Guerschman, J.P., Paruelo, J.M., Di Bella, C.M., Giallorenzi, M.C. and Pacin, F., 2002, Land Cover Classification in Argentine Pampas using multitemporal landsat TM data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* (in press).

Haber, W.A., Zuchowski, W. and Bello, E., 2000, An introduction to cloud forest trees – Monteverde, Costa Rica –  $2^{nd}$  ed. Mountain Gem Publications: Costa Rica.

Harcourt, C. S. and Sayer, J. A., 1996, The conservation atlas of tropical forests: the

Americas, Simon and Schuster: New York.

Holdridge, L.R., Grenke, W.C., Hatheway, W.H., Liang, T., and J.A. Tosi, 1971, *Forest environment in tropical life zones*, Pergamon Press: Oxford.

Huber, O., 1988a, Shrublands of the Venezuelan Guayana, pp.271-285 in: Holm-Nielsen, L.B., Nielsen, I.C. and Balslev, H. (eds.), *Tropical forests*, Academic Press: London.

Huber, O. ,1988b, Guayana highlands versus Guayana lowlands, a reappraisal. *Taxon*, **37(3)**:595-614.

Huber, O. 1995, *Vegetation*, pp. 97-160 in: Berry et al. *Flora of the Venezuelan Guayana Vol. 1*. Missouri Botanical Garden: St.Louis.

Huber, O. and Riina, R. (eds), 1997, *Glosario fitoecológico de las Américas, vol. 1*, UNESCO: Paris.

Huber, O., Febres, G. and Arnal, H. (eds.), 2001, *Ecological guide to the Gran Sabana*, The Nature Conservancy: Arlington.

Hueck, K. and Seibert, P., 1972, Vegetationskarte von Südamerika/ Mapa de la Vegetación de America del Sur, Fischer: Stuttgart.

IBGE, 1992, Manual técnico da vegetação Brasileira: manuais técnicos em geociências no. 1, Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística: Rio de Janeiro.

Junk, W.J., 1989, *Flood tolerance and tree distribution in Amazonian floodplains*, pp 47-64 in: Holm-Nielsen, L.B., Nielsen, I.C. and Balslev, H. (eds.) *Tropical forests*. Academic Press:London.

Kellman, M., Tackaberry, R., Brokaw, N. and Meave, J., 1994, Tropical gallery forests, *National Geographic Research and Exploration*, **10**:92-103.

Killeen, T.J. ,1990, The grasses of Chiquitanía, Santa Cruz, Bolivia. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 77: 125-201.

Killeen, T.J. Jardim, A., Mamani, F. and Rojas, N., 1998, Diversity, composition, and structure of a tropical deciduous forest in the Chiquitania region of Santa Cruz, Bolivia, *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **14**:803-827.

Klink, C.A., Moreira, A.G., Solbrig, O.T., 1993, Ecological Impact of Agricultural Development in the Brazilian Cerrado, pp. 259-282 in: Young,M.D. and Solbrig,O.T. (eds.), *The World's Savannas*, UNESCO and The Parthenon Group: UK.

León, R.J.C., Bran D., Collantes, M.B., Paruelo J.M. and Soriano A. ,1998, Grandes unidades de vegetación de la Patagonia extra-andina, *Ecologia Austral* 8: 126-141.

Lescure J.-P. and Tostain, O., 1989, Les Mangroves guyanaises, *Bois et Forêts des tropiques*, **220**:35-42.

Loveland, T.R., Estes, J.E., and Scepan, J., 1999. Introduction: Special Issue on Global Land Cover Mapping and Validation. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, **65**: 1011-1012.

Mayaux, P., Janodet, E., Blair-Myers, C.M. and P. Legeay-Janvier, 1997, Vegetation Map of Central Africa at 1:5M, TREES Publications Series D1, EUR 17322, Luxembourg: European Commission.

Molino, J.-F. and Sabatier, D., 2001, Tree diversity in tropical rain forests: a validation of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis, *Science*, **294**: 1702-1704.

Neira, E., Vercheure, H. and Revenga, C., 2002, *Chile's Frontier forests: conserving a global treasure*. Global Forest Watch, WRI :Washington.

Oliveira, A. and Nelson, B., 2001, Floristic relationship of *terra firme* forests in the Brazilian Amazon, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **146**: 169-179.

Parker, T.A., Gentry, A.H., Foster, R.B., Emmons, L.H. and Remsen, J.V., 1993, *The lowland dry forests of Santa Cruz, Bolivia: a global conservation priority.* RAP (Rapid Assessment Program) Working Papers 4, Conservation International: Washington, D.C..

Paruelo, J.M., Jobbagy, E.G., Sala, O.E., 1998a, Biozones of Patagonia (Argentina), *Ecologia Austral*, **8**: 145-153.

Paruelo, J.M., Beltrán, A.B., Sala, O.E., Jobbágy, E.G. and Golluscio, R.A., 1998b, The climate of Patagonia: general patterns and controls on biotic processes. *Ecologia Austral*, **8**: 85-104.

Paruelo, J.M., Jobbagy, E.G. and Sala, O.E., 2001, Current distribution of ecosystem functional types in temperate South America, *Ecosystems*, **4**: 683-698.

PDVSA, 1993 , Imagen de Venezuela – 2 ed., Petróleos de Venezuela: Caracas, Venezuela.

Pires, J.M., 1984, The Amazonian forest, pp.581-602 in Sioli, H. (ed.), *The Amazon - Limnology and landscape ecology of a mighty tropical river and its basin*, Junk: Dordrecht.

Pires, J.M., and Prance, G.T, 1985, The Vegetation Types of the Brazilian Amazon, pp.109-145 in: Prance, G.T. and Lovejoy, T.E., (eds.), *Key Environments Amazonia*, Pergamon Press: Oxford.

Prance, G.T., 1989, American Tropical Forests, pp.99-132 in Lieth, H. and Weger, M.J.A., (eds.), *Ecosystems of the World Vol. 14B*, Elsevier: Amsterdam.

Ratter, J.A., 1992, Transitions between the cerrado and the forest vegetation in Brazil, pp. 417-429 in Furley, P.A., Proctor, J. and Ratter, J. A. (eds.) *Nature and Dynamics of Forest-Savanna Boundaries*, Chapman and Hall: London.

Rosenqvist Å., 1996, The Global Rain Forest Mapping project by JERS-1 SAR, *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, **13**: 594-598.

Rosenzweig, C. and Hillel, D., 1998, *Climate Change and the Global Harvest; Potential Impacts of the Greenhouse Effect on Agriculture*, Oxford University Press: Oxford.

Sampaio, E.V.S.B., 1995, Overview of the Brazilian caatinga, pp.35-63 in: Bullock, S.H., Mooney, H.A. and Medina, E. (eds.) *Seasonally dry tropical forests*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

Sarmiento, G., 1983, The Savannas of Tropical America, pp.245-288 in F. Bourlière, (ed.) *Tropical Savannas,* Elsevier: NewYork.

Schvartzman, J.J. and Santander, V.M., 1996, Paraguay: informe nacional para la conferencia tecnica internacional de la FAO sobre los recursos fitogeneticos, FAO:Rome

Seibert, P., 1998, Guide de l'Amérique du sud, paysages et végétation, Eugen Ulmer: Paris.

Stone, T.A., Schlesinger, P., Houghton, R.A. and Woodwell, G.M., 1994, A Map of the Vegetation of South America based on Satellite Imagery, *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, **60**: 441-451.

Sioli, H. (ed.), 1984, *The Amazon - Limnology and landscape ecology of a mighty tropical river and its basin*, Junk: Dordrecht.

Soriano, A., 1993, Rio de la Plata Grasslands. pp. 367-408 in: Coupland, R.T., (ed). *Ecosystems of the World - Natural grasslands. Introduction and Western Hemisphere*, Elsevier: Amsterdam.

Spichiger, R. and Ramella, L., 1988, The forests of the Paraguayan Chaco, pp. 259-270 Holm-Nielsen L.B., Nielsen, I.C. and Balslev, H. (eds.) *Tropical forests*, Academic Press: London.

Stadtmüller, T., 1987, *Cloud forests in the humid tropics – a bibliographical review*. The United Nations University: Costa Rica.

ter Steege, H., Boot, R., Brouwer, L., Hammond, D., Van der Hout, P., Jetten, V. G., Khan, Z., Polak, A. M., Raaimakers, D. and Zagt, R.,1995, Basic and applied research for sound rain forest management in Guyana, *Ecological Applications*, **5**:904-910.

ter Steege, H., Sabatier, D., Castellanos, H., Van Andel, T., Duivenvoorden, J., de Oliveira, A., Ek, E., Lilwah, R., Maas, P. and Mori, S., 2000, An analysis of the floristic composition and diversity of Amazonian forests including those of the Guiana Shield, *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **16**: 801-828.

United Nations, 2001, *World Population Prospects. The 2000 revision highlights,* UN Population Division Department of Economic and Social Affairs: New York.

United Nations Environment Programme, 1999, GEO-2000, Global Environmental Outlook, Earthscan Publications Ltd: London.

USGS,1997,GTOPO30 Documentation, available on line at the USGS World Wide Web http://edcwww.cr.usgs.gov/landdaac/gtopo30.

Veblen, T.T., Donoso, Kitzberger, T. and Rebertus, A.J. 1996, Ecology of Southern Chilean and Argentinean *Nothofagus* forests, pp. 293-353 in: Veblen, T.T., Hill, R.S., and Read, J. (eds.) *The Ecology and biogeography of Nothofagus forests* Yale University Press: New Haven.

Veillon, J.P., 1989, Los bosques naturals de Venezuela, Instituto di silvicultura

Universidad de los andes: Mérida.

Wirth, R., Weber, B. and Ryel, R., 2001, spatial and temporal variability of canopy structure in a tropical moist forest, *Acta Oecologica*, **22**: 235-244.

Williams, R.S. and Ferrigno, J.G. (eds.), 1999, Satellite Image Atlas Of Glaciers Of The World, USGS professional paper 1386-I On-line Version. http://pubs.usgs.gov/prof/p1386i/index.html.

Zeng, N., 1999, Seasonal Cycle and Interannual Variability in the Amazon hydrologic cycle, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **104**: 9097-9106.

# 8. Technical specifications

The data are available from the ftp site in BINARY or ESRI format.

Classes are grouped by thematic type (table 9), with lowland forests using classes between 10 and 44, non-forest classes between 50 and 90 and montane forests from 110 to 190. Note that many digital numbers are unassigned.

#### Table 6: Class groupings in the digital data

Classes	Land cover types
10-14	Lowland (< 500m) evergreen tropical forests
20-24	Lowland (< 500m) deciudous tropical forests
30-33	Lowland (<500m) forests under flooding regime
40-44	Lowland (< 500m) temperate forests
50-53	Agricultural classes
60-75	Grass and shrublands
80-84	Unvegetated
90	Urban
110-114	Montane forests 500-1000m - evergreen
120-124	Montane forests 500-1000m - deciduous
130-133	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded
140-144	Montane forests 500-1000m - temperate
160-164	Montane forests >1000m - evergreen
170-174	Montane forests >1000m - deciduous
180-183	Montane forests >1000m - flooded
190-194	Montane forests >1000m - temperate

To create the montane classes, the digital evelation data was crossed with the basic land cover map. Then, 100 was added to those classes occurring on land between 500m and 1000m above sea level, and 150 was added to classes occurring on land over 1000m above mean sea level. Hence, closed semi-humid forests (class 13) occurring above 500m would be re-labeled as 113; closed semi-humid forests occurring above 1000m would are reclassed as 163. Note that a number of these classes, while mathematically possible, do not exist - e.g. flooded montane forests.

# Table 7: Digital numbers of the land cover classes

Class	Land cover	Class	Land cover
10	Closed evergreen tropical forest	84	Permenent snow /ice
11	Open evergreen tropical forest	90	Urban
12	Bamboo dominated forest	110	Montane forests 500-1000m - dense evergreen
13	Closed semi-humid forest	111	Montane forests 500-1000m - open evergreen
14	Open semi-humid forest	112	Montane forests 500-1000m - bamboo
20	Closed deciduous forest	113	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed semi humid
21	Open deciduous forest	114	Montane forests 500-1000m - open semi humid
22	Closed semi deciduous forest	120	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed deciduous
23	Open semi deciduous forest	121	Montane forests 500-1000m - open deciduous
24	Semi deciduous transition forest	122	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed semi -deciduous
30	Mangroves	123	Montane forests 500-1000m - open semi- deciduous
31	Fresh water flooded forests	124	Montane forests 500-1000m - transition forest
33	Permanent swamp forests	130	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded forest
40	Temperate closed evergreen broadleaf forest	131	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded forest
42	Temperate mixed evergreen broadleaf forests	133	Montane forests 500-1000m - flooded forest
43	Temperate closed deciduous broadleaf forests	142	Montane forests 500-1000m - temperate mixed
44	Temperate open deciduous broadleaf forests	143	Montane forests 500-1000m - closed temperate deciduous
50	Agriculture - intensive	144	Montane forests 500-1000m - open temperate deciduous
51	Mosaic agriculture / degraded vegetation	160	Montane forests >1000m - dense evergreen
52	Mosaic agriculture / degraded forests	161	Montane forests >1000m - open evergreen
53	Forest plantations (Llanos of Venezuela)	162	Montane forests >1000m - bamboo dominated
60	Grass savannah	163	Montane forests > 1000m - closed semi humid
61	Shrub savannah	164	Montane forests > 1000m - open semi humid
63	Periodically flooded savannah	170	Montane forests >1000m - closed deciduous
64	Closed shrublands	171	Montane forests >1000m - open deciduous
65	Open shrublands	172	Montane forests >1000m - closed semi -deciduous
66	Periodically flooded shrublands	173	Montane forests >1000m - open semi- deciduous
67	Moorlands / heathlands	174	Montane forests >1000m - transition forest
68	Closed montane grasslands	180	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
69	Open montane grasslands	181	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
70	Closed steppe grasslands	182	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
71	Open steppe grasslands	183	Montane forests > 1000m flooded forest
75	Sparse desertic steppe shrub /grasslands	190	Montane forests >1000m -temperate closed broadleaf
80	Barren / bare soil	192	Montane forests >1000m - temperate mixed
81	Desert	193	Montane forests >1000m - closed temperate deciduous
82	Salt pans	194	Montane forests >1000m - open temperate deciduous
02	Water badies		

Salt pans Water bodies 82 83

A Vegetation Map of South America

# 9. Legend translations

Tables 6 and 7 on the following pages give the translations of the legend in French, Spanish and Portuguese.

classes
Forest
Portuguese –
ı and
Spanish
English
in French
lin
legend
: The le
Table

Forêts de plaine et d'altitude

Lowland and upland Forests

Forêts humides Forêts feuillues sempervirentes Fermées Ouvertes Bambous dominant Forêts feuillues semi-humides Forêts feuillues semi-humides Forêts feuillues semi-humides Forêts tropicales séches Forêts tropicales séches Forêts tropicales séches Forêts semi-décidues Fermées Ouvertes Forêts tropicales inondées Forêts côtières inondées - mangroves Forêts inondées en eau douce

Forêts semi-décidues de transition

Forets mondees en cau douce Forêts galeries Forêts marécageuses - ouvertes avec des palmiers

Forêts tempérées Forêts feuillues sempervirentes Fermées Ouvertes Forêts sempervirentes mixtes de conifères et de feuillus

Forêts décidues Fermées Ouvertes

Semi deciduous transition forest Bamboo dominated Semi humid broadleaf Semi deciduous forest Evergreen broadleaf -Closed Open Closed Deciduous forests Closed Closed Open Open Open Dry tropical forests Humid forests

Flooded tropical forest Coastal flooded forests - mangroves Fresh water flooded forests Gallery forests Swamp forests - open with palms

Temperate forests Evergreen broadleaf Closed Open Evergreen mixed broad and needle leaf

Deciduous forests Closed Open

Bosques semideciduos de transicion Domindado por Bambú Latifoliadas siempreverdes Bosque semi caducifolio Latifoliadas subhumedas Bosques caducifolio Abierto Abierto Cerrado Cerrado Cerrado Bosque de areas bajas y altas Abierto Cerrado Abierto Bosque tropical xerico Bosque húmedo

Bosque tropical inundable Bosques costeros inundables - manglar Bosque inundable de agua dulce Bosques en galeria Bosques de humedal - abierto con palmeras

Bosques templados Latifoliadas siempreverdes Cerrado Abierto Bosque mixto de coniferas y latifolidas siempreverde

Bosque caducifolio Cerrado Abierto

Florestas hidrófilas - abertas com palmeiras Florestas de transição semi deciduais Florestas estacionais semi-deciduais Florestas estacionais semi deciduais Dominada por Bambú Florestas estacionais deciduais Latifoliadas sempre-verdes Florestas de terras altas e baixas Florestas ombrófilas Aberta Florestas de galeria Aberta Aberta Florestas tropicais imundáveis Aberta Aberta Densa Densa Densa Densa Densa Igapós, Várzeas Florestas tropicais secas Manguezais Florestas temperadas Florestas úmidas

Florestas estacionais deciduais Densa

Aberta

Florestas mistas de coníferas e

latifoliadas sempre-verdes

Classes não florestais	Agricultura	Intensiva	legradada Mosaico de vegetação não arbórea degradada		Plantações florestais - Reflorestamentos	Campos, cerrados e estepes	Savanas tropicais	Savanas	bustivas Savanas arbustivas	Campos limpo	Campos inundáveis	Formações arbustivas	Fechado	Aberto	Campinarama	Campos rupestres	Campos de altitude	Denso	Aberto	Estepes	Campos fechados	Campos abertos	Arbustiva pouco densa	ersa Solos com vegetação esparsa ou dispersa	Rochas e solo nu	Deserto	Áreas salinizadas	Corpos d'água	es y artificiales Corpos d'água naturais e artificias	eve Áreas com neves eternas
Clases no Bosque	Agricultura	Intensiva	Mosaico de vegetacion no arborea degradada	Mosaico de vegetacion arborea degradada	Plantaciones florestales	Praderas y arbustales	Sabanas tropicales	Sabanas graminosas	Sabanas graminosas y arbustivas	Pastizal abierto	Sabanas inundables	Arbustales	Cerrado	Abierto	Inundables	Turberas	Pastizales de altura	Cerrado	Abierto	Vegetación de estepa	Pastizal cerrado	Pastizal abierto	Arbustal poco denso	Suelo con vegetación escasa o dispersa	Suelo desnudo y roca	Desierto	Salar	Cuerpos de agua	Cuerpos de agua naturales y artificiales	Hielos permanentes y nieve
Non-forest classes	Agriculture	Intensive	Mosaic of degraded non-forest vegetation	Mosaic of degraded forest vegetation	Forest plantations	Grass and shrub lands	Tropical savannahs	Savannah	Shrub savannah	Sparse grassland	Flooded savannah	Shrublands	Closed	Open	Flooded shrublands	Moorlands / Heath	Montane grasslands	Closed	Open	Steppe vegetation	Closed grassland	Open grassland	Sparse shrubland	Land with little or sparse vegetation	Bare soil / barren	Desert	Salt pans	Water bodies	Natural and artificial water bodies	Permanent ice and snow
Classes non-forestières	Agriculture	Intensive	Mosaïque de végétation non-forestière dégradée	Mosaïque de végétation forestière dégradée	Forêts de plantation	Fourrés et prairies	Savanes tropicales	Savanes	Savanes arbustives	Savanes herbeuses	Savanes inondées	Fourrés	Fermés	Ouverts	Fourrés inondés	Landes	Prairies de montagne	Ouvertes	Fermées	Steppes	Prairies fermées	Prairies ouvertes	Steppes arbustives	Terre avec peu ou végétation éparpillée	Sol nu	Désert	Sel	Eau	Plans d'eau artificiels ou naturels	Glace et neige permanentes

Table 9: The legend in French English Spanish and Portuguese – Non-forest classes

#### **European Commission**

## EUR 20159 EN – A Vegetation Map of South America H.D.Eva, E.E.de Miranda, C.M.Di Bella, V.Gond, O.Huber et al.

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities 2002-X-34 pp.  $-21.0 \times 29.7$  cm

Environment and quality of life series ISBN 92-894-4449-5

#### Abstract

A vegetation map of South America has been produced using multi-sensor satellite observations at a spatial resolution of 1 km. The map highlights the major vegetation formations throughout the continent with an improved thematic content over previous land cover maps, identifying over 40 land cover classes. The majority of the data used were acquired in the year 2000 giving an unprecedented up-to-date overview of the continent's land cover.

# 10. Accompanying maps in the series

This map has been produced as part of the Global Land Cover mapping exercise and the Global Burnt Area mapping excerise, organised and led by the Joint Research Centre's Global Vegetation Monitoring Unit, based in the Insistute for Environment and Sustainability. A global land cover map and global burnt area map has been assembled from the regional maps produced by the GVM unit and partner institutions.

# For an overview of the project:

E. Bartholomé, A. S. Belward, F. Achard, S. Bartalev, C. Carmona-Moreno, H. Eva, S. Fritz, J-M. Gregoire, P. Mayaux, and H-J. Stibig, 2002, *GLC 2000: Global Land Cover mapping for the year 2000,* EUR 20524 EN, European Commission, Luxembourg.

Grégoire J-M., K.Tansey, and J.M.N. Silva, 2003, The GBA2000 initiative: Developing a global burned area database from SPOT-VEGETATION imagery, *Int. J. Remote Sensing*, Vol. 24, in press.

## Global map co-ordination and harmonization:

Etienne Bartholomé, Alan Belward, Steffen Fritz, JRC Ispra

## Global burnt area map co-ordination and harmonization:

Jean-Marie Grégoire, Kevin Tansey, JRC, Ispra

## Regional map co-ordination:

Africa -: Philippe Mayaux, JRC, Ispra

Asia -: Jurgen Stibig, JRC, Ispra

Australia -: Philippe Mayaux, JRC, Ispra

Europe -: Etienne Bartholomé, JRC, Ispra

Northern Eurasia -: Alan Belward, JRC, Ispra

North and Central America –: Tom Loveland, US Geological Service and Rasim Latifovic Canadian Center for Remote Sensing

South America-: Hugh Eva, JRC, Ispra

14

The mission of the Joint Research Centre is to provide customer-driven scientific and technical support for the conception, development, implementation and monitoring of European Union policies. As a service of the European Commission, the JRC functions as a reference centre of science and technology for the Community. Close to the policy-making process, it serves the common interest of the Member States, while being independent of commercial or national interests.



EUROPEAN COMMISSION JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE



OFFICE FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES L-2985 Luxembourg

